

1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF MALHEUR

3  
4 NICHOLAS McGUFFIN, )  
5 Plaintiff, )  
6 vs. ) No. 15CV1030  
7 MARK NOOTH, Superintendent, )  
8 SRCI, )  
9 Defendant. )

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 **DEPOSITION OF JANELLE MOORE**

16 Taken in behalf of the Plaintiff

17 June 24, 2019

1 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to Oregon  
2 Rules of Civil Procedure, the deposition of JANELLE  
3 MOORE was taken before Lisa J. Pace, Court Reporter  
4 and Notary Public for Oregon, on Monday, June 24,  
5 2019, commencing at the hour of time, the proceedings  
6 being reported in the offices of OSP Forensics,  
7 Clackamas, Oregon.

8 -:-

9 APPEARANCES:

10 APPEARING FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

11 Janis C. Puracal  
12 Forensic Justice Project  
13 333 SW Taylor Street, Suite 403  
Tigard OR 97204  
14 503-664-3641  
jpuracal@forensicjusticeproject.org  
and  
15 Brittney Plessner  
Oregon Innocence Project  
PO Box 5248  
16 Portland OR 97208  
503-944-2270  
17 bplessner@oregoninnocence.info

18 APPEARING FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

19 Paul E. Reim  
20 DOJ Trial Corrections Lit  
1162 Court Street NE  
21 Salem OR 97301  
503-947-4700  
22 paul.reim@doj.state.or.us

23  
24 Also Present: John Comery

I N D E X

Examinations

Page

EXAMINATION BY MS. PURACAL

4

EXAMINATION BY MR. REIM

62

Exhibits

No.      Description

Page

22 Article Citations

72

Information to Produce

Page      Line

Instruction by Counsel

Page      Line

1                           **JANELLE MOORE,**  
2 having first been sworn or affirmed, was examined and  
3 testified under penalties of perjury as follows:

4

## 5                           EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. PURACAL:

7       Q. Ms. Moore, thank you for coming. We'll do  
8 some quick appearances on the record.

9       A. Okay.

10                          MS. PURACAL: Janis Puracal from the  
11 Forensic Justice Project, representing the  
12 petitioner, Mr. McGuffin. I have with me Brittney  
13 Plessner and John Comery from the Oregon Innocence  
14 Project.

15                          MR. REIM: Paul Reim representing the  
16 superintendent, and I'm from the Department of  
17 Justice.

18       Q. Ms. Moore, you are a forensic scientist in  
19 the DNA unit at the Oregon State Police Forensic  
20 Division?

21       A. I am.

22       Q. Is that your actual title?

23       A. Yeah, I'm a forensic scientist -- senior  
24 forensic scientist.

25       Q. How long have you been a senior forensic

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. So what's the relevance of that portion of  
3 the protocol with respect to what was reported or not  
4 reported in the 2000 testing?

5 A. So when it says a minimum of 150, peaks  
6 above 150 were reported and they were expected to be  
7 reported. It's my understanding that this then left  
8 it up to analyst discretion what happens with those  
9 peaks that are below 150 but above the detection  
10 threshold, so that was 50.

11 So it -- And that's as much as I could  
12 glean, really, from that. It says they may be  
13 interpreted with caution, so that's leaving analyst  
14 discretion for what they do with those peaks.

15 Q. So when you say analyst discretion, that  
16 means that the analyst could choose to interpret them  
17 or choose not to interpret them?

18 A. That's -- that's my understanding of the  
19 protocols at the time, yeah.

20 Q. Do you know what factors went into that  
21 choice of whether to interpret or not?

22 A. I don't. I -- Since I came on six years  
23 later, I don't know the dynamics or if that ebbed and  
24 flowed or if it was an individual preference, I don't  
25 know.

1 Q. Do you know if the analyst chose to  
2 report -- or, sorry -- chose to interpret those peaks  
3 below 150, did they then also have discretion to  
4 choose whether to report what they interpreted?

5 A. So I know for sure that those peaks under  
6 150 could not be used for statistical estimate, I  
7 know that for sure. As far as the reporting, I don't  
8 know about that.

9 I don't know if there's any guidance in the  
10 protocol either from that time about that either. It  
11 wasn't near the detail that we have today in our  
12 protocol.

13 Q. And if we do look back at tab number 9 then  
14 in that black binder, this is a section of that 2000  
15 protocol.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. And if you look at the second page of that  
18 exhibit, that's the page that you're referring to  
19 when we talk about peak heights less than 150 --

20 A. Oh, yeah.

21 Q. -- that may be interpreted with caution.

22 And if you look at the next page of that  
23 exhibit, it's page 43 of the protocol and it talked  
24 about the report writing guidelines. In there it  
25 says, "Peaks between 50 and 150 RFU will be

1 State of Oregon )  
2 County of Clackamas ) ss.  
3

4 I, Lisa J. Pace, Court Reporter and Notary  
5 Public, do hereby certify that JANELLE MOORE  
6 personally appeared before me at the time and place  
7 mentioned in the caption herein; that the witness was  
8 by me first duly sworn on oath and examined upon oral  
9 interrogatories propounded by counsel; that said  
10 examination, together with the testimony of said  
11 witness, was taken down by me in stenotype and  
12 thereafter reduced to typewriting; and that the  
13 foregoing transcript, Pages 1 to 72, both inclusive,  
14 contains a full, true and accurate record of all such  
15 testimony adduced and oral proceedings and of the  
16 whole thereof.

17 Witness my hand at Lake Oswego, Oregon, this  
18 12th day of July 2019.



Lisa J. Pace  
Court Reporter  
Notary Public for Oregon  
My Commission expires 7/17/20